

THE NEF CURVE CONE THEOREM REVISITED

QIHONG XIE

ABSTRACT. We revisit the nef curve cone theorem and use it to reprove the pseudo-effectivity of the second Chern classes for terminal weak $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano varieties.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this note is to revisit the nef curve cone theorem due to [Ba92]. We state this theorem in the higher dimensional log case and give a proof almost identical to the original one. As an important application, we use this theorem to reprove the pseudo-effectivity of the second Chern classes for terminal weak $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano varieties, which was, in fact, proved in [KMMT00].

There are three reasons to redo this interesting work. First, we would like to give the formal statement and proof of the nef curve cone theorem in the higher dimensional log case. Second, this theorem in the three-dimensional log case was claimed in [KMMT00], however some necessary explanations were absent. Third, some inaccurate points and typographical errors in [Ba92] and [KMMT00] are clarified in this note.

Before stating the main results, we need the following important definitions of cones in birational geometry (cf. [La04]).

Let X be a normal proper variety. Let $N^1(X)$ (resp. $N_1(X)$) be the $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space generated by all Cartier divisors (resp. all irreducible proper curves) on X modulo numerical equivalence. The intersection number $N_1(X) \times N^1(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $(C, D) \mapsto \langle C, D \rangle = C \cdot D$ defines a nondegenerate pairing between $N_1(X)$ and $N^1(X)$.

The effective divisor cone $Eff(X) \subset N^1(X)$ is defined to be a cone generated by all effective Cartier divisors on X . Its closure $\overline{Eff}(X)$ is called the pseudo-effective divisor cone. A $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor D is pseudo-effective if and only if the Iitaka D-dimension $\kappa(X, D) \geq 0$. Let $\overline{NM}(X) \subset N_1(X)$ be the dual cone of $\overline{Eff}(X)$, which is called the nef curve cone.

$NE(X) \subset N_1(X)$ is defined to be a cone generated by all irreducible proper curves on X . Its closure $\overline{NE}(X)$ is called the Kleiman-Mori cone, which plays an essential role in the Minimal Model Theory. Let B be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on X such that $K_X + B$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier. Let

Date: 2005/01/20.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14E30; Secondary 14C17.

H be an ample Cartier divisor on X , ε a sufficiently small positive number. Denote $\overline{NE}_\varepsilon(X) = \{z \in \overline{NE}(X) \mid \langle z, K_X + B + \varepsilon H \rangle \geq 0\}$. Let $Nef(X) \subset N^1(X)$ be the dual cone of $\overline{NE}(X)$, which is called the nef divisor cone and indeed generated by all nef Cartier divisors on X .

The big divisor cone $Big(X) \subset N^1(X)$ is defined to be a cone generated by all big Cartier divisors on X . If X is projective, we define the ample divisor cone $Amp(X) \subset N^1(X)$ to be a cone generated by all ample Cartier divisors on X . It is easy to see that both $Big(X)$ and $Amp(X)$ are open cones, and the following relations hold:

$$Big(X) = \text{Int}(\overline{Eff}(X)), \quad \overline{Amp}(X) = Nef(X).$$

The following is the nef curve cone theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a normal projective $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial variety of dimension n , B an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on X such that (X, B) is Kawamata log terminal (klt, for short). Assume that $K_X + B$ is not pseudo-effective. Let H be an ample Cartier divisor on X , ε a sufficiently small positive number. Then up to the Log Minimal Model Conjecture and Conjecture 1.3 on dimension n , there are a positive integer r depending only on ε and integer curves $l_i \in \overline{NM}(X) \setminus \overline{NE}_\varepsilon(X)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, such that*

$$\overline{NM}(X) + \overline{NE}_\varepsilon(X) = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{R}_+[l_i] + \overline{NE}_\varepsilon(X).$$

Conjecture 1.2 (Log Minimal Model Conjecture). *Let X be a normal projective $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial variety of dimension n , B an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on X such that (X, B) is klt. Then there exists a sequence of birational maps*

$$(X = X_0, B = B_0) \dashrightarrow (X_1, B_1) \dashrightarrow \cdots \dashrightarrow (X_k, B_k)$$

where B_i is the strict transform of B on X_i and $\dashrightarrow$ is either an extremal divisorial contraction or a log flip, such that (X_k, B_k) is either a log minimal model or a log Mori fiber space.

Conjecture 1.3. *Notation and assumptions as above. Furthermore assume that $K_X + B$ is nef. Then the Iitaka D -dimension $\kappa(X, K_X + B) \geq 0$.*

For the Log Minimal Model Conjecture, it is sufficient to prove the existence of log flips and the termination of log flips (cf. [KMM87]). The existence of log flips is known on dimension at most four (cf. [Sh92, Sh03]), and the termination of log flips is known on dimension at most three (cf. [Ka92]).

Instead of Conjecture 1.3, in fact, we may put forward a stronger one called the Log Abundance Conjecture, which was proved on dimension at most three (cf. [KMM94]).

Remark 1.4. Theorem 1.1 is also valid for divisorial log terminal pairs provided that the corresponding two conjectures are true for dlt pairs.

As an application of Theorem 1.1, we reprove the following

Theorem 1.5. *Let X be a terminal weak $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety of dimension n , i.e. X has only terminal singularities and $-K_X$ is nef and big. Then up to the Log Minimal Model Conjecture and Conjecture 1.3 on dimension n , for any ample Cartier divisors $H_1, \dots, H_{n-2}$ on X , we have*

$$c_2(X) \cdot H_1 \cdots H_{n-2} \geq 0.$$

In §2 and §3, we will prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.5 respectively. In what follows, we assume that the Log Minimal Model Conjecture and Conjecture 1.3 hold on dimension n . We will use freely the results on the Minimal Model Theory, and refer the reader to [KMM87, KM98] for more details.

We work over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$.

Acknowledgements. I would like to express my gratitude to Professor Hiromichi Takagi for his useful suggestions and comments.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Definition 2.1. Let $\varphi : X \dashrightarrow Z$ be a birational map between normal proper varieties. φ is called an ICO-map if the birational morphism $\varphi^{-1} : Z \setminus Z_0 \rightarrow \varphi^{-1}(Z \setminus Z_0)$ is isomorphic in codimension one, where Z_0 is the indeterminacy locus of φ^{-1} with codimension at least two.

For such an ICO-map φ , we have one natural injective map $\varphi^{1*} : N^1(Z) \rightarrow N^1(X)$, and by duality, the other natural injective map $\varphi_1^* : N_1(Z) \rightarrow N_1(X)$. Note that φ_1^* is not the strict transform of 1-cycles, since $\varphi_1^*(N_1(Z)) \subset N_1(X)$ is always orthogonal to the kernel of the direct image map $N^1(X) \rightarrow N^1(Z)$. It is easy to see that the composition of any two ICO-maps is also an ICO-map, and that extremal divisorial contractions and log flips are ICO-maps.

In §2, we fix the notation and assumptions as in Theorem 1.1.

Definition 2.2. Let H be an ample Cartier divisor on X . The following three numbers are important for technical reasons.

$$\alpha_X(H) := \inf\{p/q \in \mathbb{Q} \mid p \in \mathbb{Z}, q \in \mathbb{N}, pH + q(K_X + B) \in \text{Big}(X)\};$$

$$\sigma_X(H) := \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid H + t(K_X + B) \in \overline{\text{Eff}}(X)\};$$

$$\tau_X(H) := \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid H + t(K_X + B) \in \text{Nef}(X)\}.$$

Lemma 2.3. $\sigma_X(H) = \alpha_X(H)^{-1}$. If for $m \gg 0$, $m(H + \tau_X(H)(K_X + B))$ defines a morphism from X to Z with $\dim Z < \dim X$, then $\sigma_X(H) = \tau_X(H)$.

Proof. Since every big divisor is pseudo-effective, we have $\sigma_X(H) \geq \alpha_X(H)^{-1}$. The $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor $(1 + \varepsilon_1)H + (\sigma_X(H) - \varepsilon_2)(K_X + B)$ is big for

suitable positive number $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$. Hence $\alpha_X(H)^{-1} \geq (\sigma_X(H) - \varepsilon_2)/(1 + \varepsilon_1)$. Let $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $\sigma_X(H) = \alpha_X(H)^{-1}$.

Moreover, If for $m \gg 0$, $m(H + \tau_X(H)(K_X + B))$ defines a morphism from X to Z with $\dim Z < \dim X$, then $H + \tau_X(H)(K_X + B)$ is not big, hence $\tau_X(H) \geq \alpha_X(H)^{-1}$. Since every nef divisor is pseudo-effective, we always have $\tau_X(H) \leq \sigma_X(H) = \alpha_X(H)^{-1}$. $\square$

Theorem 2.4. *Assume that $K_X + B$ is not pseudo-effective. Then for any nonempty open subset $U \subset \text{Amp}(X)$, there are a variety S of dimension $n - 1$ with a dominant rational map $\psi : \mathbb{P}^1 \times S \dashrightarrow X$, an ICO-map $\varphi : X \dashrightarrow Z$, and a nonempty open subset $V \subset U$ such that*

- (i) *for every general point $s \in S$, $0 < \langle \psi_*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times s), -(K_X + B) \rangle \leq 2n$;*
- (ii) *$\sigma_X(L)$ is a rational number for any $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor $L \in V$;*
- (iii) *for $m \gg 0$ the linear system $|m(L + \sigma_X(L)(K_X + B))|$ is nonempty for any $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor $L \in V$, and $\langle \varphi_1^* \varphi_* \psi_*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times s), L + \sigma_X(L)(K_X + B) \rangle = 0$ for the generic point $s \in S$.*

Proof. Since U is an open subset consisting of ample $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisors, we can find an ample $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor $L_0 \in U$ such that the divisor $D = L_0 + \tau_0(K_X + B)$ defines an extremal ray $R = \overline{NE}(X) \cap D^\perp$, where $\tau_0 = \tau_X(L_0)$.

For any $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor $L \in U$, denote $D(L) = L + \tau_X(L)(K_X + B)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\overline{NE}(X) \cap D^\perp = R$ for all $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor $L \in U$, otherwise we can replace U by some smaller neighbourhood of L_0 . Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be the corresponding extremal contraction of R .

Up to the Log Minimal Model Conjecture and Conjecture 1.3 on dimension n , since $K_X + B$ is not pseudo-effective, after taking a finite number of divisorial contractions or log flips, at last we reach to a log Mori fiber space. The main idea of the proof is to verify Theorem 2.4 for the log Mori fiber space $f : X \rightarrow Y$, and use induction to deal with the divisorial contraction case and the log flip case.

Case I: f is a log Mori fiber space.

By Lemma 2.3 and the rationality theorem, we have $\sigma_X(L) = \tau_X(L)$ is a rational number for all $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor $L \in U$. On the other hand, X is covered by a family of rational curves whose general member can be chosen to be an extremal rational ray l with length $\langle l, -(K_X + B) \rangle \leq 2n$ (cf. [Ka91, Theorem 1]), and for $m \gg 0$, the linear system $|mD(L)|$ is base point free and vertical to l . Thus we can take a $(n - 1)$ -dimensional family $\psi : \mathbb{P}^1 \times S \dashrightarrow X$ with $\psi_*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times s) = l$, $V = U$, $Z = X$ and $\varphi = \text{id}$ to complete the proof of Case I.

Case II: f is a divisorial contraction.

$(Y, B_Y = f_*B)$ is also $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial and klt. We may write

$$K_X + B = f^*(K_Y + B_Y) + aE,$$

where a is a positive rational number and E is the exceptional divisor of f . Let $F : U \rightarrow N^1(Y)$ be the affine linear map defined by

$$F(W) = f_*(W) + \tau_0(K_Y + B_Y).$$

Since F is an open map, we get a subset $F(U) \subset N^1(Y)$ containing the ample $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor $F(L_0) = f_*(L_0) + \tau_0(K_Y + B_Y) = f_*(L_0 + \tau_0(K_X + B)) = f_*(D(L_0))$. Let $U_0 = F(U) \cap \text{Amp}(Y)$. Then $F(L_0) \in U_0 \neq \emptyset$.

Claim 1. $\sigma_Y(F(L)) = \sigma_X(L) - \tau_0$ for any $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor $L \in V := F^{-1}(U_0) \cap U$.

Proof of Claim 1. Since $f_*\overline{Eff}(X) = \overline{Eff}(Y)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_Y(F(L)) &= \sigma_Y(f_*(L + \tau_0(K_X + B))) \\ &\geq \sigma_X(L + \tau_0(K_X + B)) \\ &= \sigma_X(L) - \tau_0. \end{aligned}$$

The divisor $G(L) = f^*(F(L) + \sigma_Y(F(L))(K_Y + B_Y))$ is the total transform of a pseudo-effective divisor on Y , so $G(L)$ is also pseudo-effective. On the other hand, we may write

$$G(L) = L + (\tau_0 + \sigma_Y(F(L)))(K_X + B) + bE,$$

where b is a rational number. Let $l \in R$ be a curve, then $\langle l, G(L) \rangle = 0$. Since $\langle l, (K_X + B) \rangle < 0$, $\langle l, E \rangle < 0$, $\tau_0 + \sigma_Y(F(L)) \geq \sigma_X(L) \geq \tau_X(L)$, and $\langle l, L + \tau_X(L)(K_X + B) \rangle = 0$, we have

$$-b\langle l, E \rangle = \langle l, L + (\tau_0 + \sigma_Y(F(L)))(K_X + B) \rangle \leq 0,$$

so $b \leq 0$. Hence $G(L) - bE = L + (\tau_0 + \sigma_Y(F(L)))(K_X + B)$ is pseudo-effective and $\sigma_X(L) \geq \tau_0 + \sigma_Y(F(L))$, which completes the proof of Claim 1.

By the induction hypothesis for Y , we can find a $(n-1)$ -fold S with a dominant rational map $\psi_0 : \mathbb{P}^1 \times S \dashrightarrow Y$, an ICO-map $\chi : Y \dashrightarrow Z$ and an open subset $V_0 \subset U_0$, such that the conditions (i)-(iii) are satisfied.

Let $\psi = f^{-1} \circ \psi_0 : \mathbb{P}^1 \times S \dashrightarrow X$ be the strict transform of the family ψ_0 via f . Since all curves of the family ψ do not lie in E , hence $\langle \psi_*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times s), E \rangle \geq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times s), -(K_X + B) \rangle &\leq \langle \psi_*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times s), -f^*(K_Y + B_Y) \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi_{0*}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times s), -(K_Y + B_Y) \rangle \leq 2n. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Claim 1 that $\sigma_X(L)$ is a rational number for any $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor $L \in V$.

For $m \gg 0$, the direct image of the linear system $|m(L + \sigma_X(L)(K_X + B))|$ is the nonempty linear system $|m(F(L) + \sigma_Y(F(L))(K_Y + B_Y))|$. Let $\varphi = \chi \circ f : X \dashrightarrow Z$. Since $\langle \varphi_1^* \varphi_* \psi_*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times s), E \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \chi_1^* \chi_* \psi_{0*}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times s), F(L) + \sigma_Y(F(L))(K_Y + B_Y) \rangle = 0$, it is easy to see that $\langle \varphi_1^* \varphi_* \psi_*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times s), L + \sigma_X(L)(K_X + B) \rangle = 0$, which completes the proof of Case II.

Case III: f is a flipping contraction.

Up to the Log Minimal Model Conjecture, there exists a log flip $tr_R : X \dashrightarrow X^+$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\quad tr_R \quad} & X^+ \\ & \searrow f \quad \swarrow f^+ & \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

- (1) tr_R is isomorphic in codimension one;
- (2) $(X^+, B^+ = tr_{R*}(B))$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial klt, and $K_{X^+} + B^+$ is f^+ -ample.

Thus tr_R yields an isomorphism $\beta_R : \overline{Eff}(X) \rightarrow \overline{Eff}(X^+)$. Since $f_*(L_0 + \tau_0(K_X + B))$ is an ample $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor on Y , we have that for $m \gg 0$, $\beta_R(L_0 + (\tau_0 + 1/m)(K_X + B)) = f^{+*}f_*(L_0 + \tau_0(K_X + B)) + 1/m(K_{X^+} + B^+)$ is an ample $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor on X^+ .

Let $F : U \rightarrow N^1(X^+)$ be the affine linear map defined by

$$F(W) = tr_{R*}(W + (\tau_0 + 1/m)(K_X + B)).$$

Since F is an open map, we obtain a subset $F(U) \subset N^1(X^+)$ containing the ample $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor $F(L_0)$. Let $U_0 = F(U) \cap \text{Amp}(X^+)$. Then $F(L_0) \in U_0 \neq \emptyset$.

By the induction hypothesis for X^+ , we can find a $(n-1)$ -fold S with a dominant rational map $\psi_0 : \mathbb{P}^1 \times S \dashrightarrow X^+$, an ICO-map $\chi : X^+ \dashrightarrow Z$ and an open subset $V_0 \subset U_0$, such that the conditions (i)-(iii) are satisfied. Let $\psi : \mathbb{P}^1 \times S \dashrightarrow X$ be the strict transform of the family ψ_0 via tr_R , and let $V = F^{-1}(V_0) \cap U$.

Claim 2. $\langle \psi_*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times s), -(K_X + B) \rangle \leq \langle \psi_{0*}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times s), -(K_{X^+} + B^+) \rangle$ for the generic point $s \in S$.

Proof of Claim 2. Let $\tilde{X}$ be a common resolution of X and X^+ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{X} & \\ g \swarrow & & \searrow g^+ \\ X & & X^+ \end{array}$$

We may write

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\tilde{X}} &= g^*(K_X + B) + \sum a_i E_i, \\ K_{\tilde{X}} &= g^{+*}(K_{X^+} + B^+) + \sum b_i E_i. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $a_i \leq b_i$ for all i . Let $\tilde{\psi}$ be the strict transform on $\tilde{X}$ of the family ψ via g . Then $\langle \tilde{\psi}_*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times s), E_i \rangle \geq 0$ for all i since the family

$\tilde{\psi}$ covers a dense subset of $\tilde{X}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \psi_*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times s), -(K_X + B) \rangle \\ &= \langle \tilde{\psi}_*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times s), -K_{\tilde{X}} \rangle + \sum a_i \langle \tilde{\psi}_*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times s), E_i \rangle \\ &\leq \langle \tilde{\psi}_*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times s), -K_{\tilde{X}} \rangle + \sum b_i \langle \tilde{\psi}_*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times s), E_i \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi_{0*}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times s), -(K_{X^+} + B^+) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Claim 2, which guarantees the condition (i) for (X, B) .

Since the isomorphism β_R gives $\sigma_{X^+}(F(L)) = \sigma_X(L) - \tau_0 - 1/m$, we have the rationality of $\sigma_X(L)$ for any $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor $L \in V$.

For $m \gg 0$, the direct image of the linear system $|m(L + \sigma_X(L)(K_X + B))|$ is the nonempty linear system $|m(F(L) + \sigma_{X^+}(F(L))(K_{X^+} + B^+))|$. Let $\varphi = \chi \circ tr_R : X \dashrightarrow Z$. Then (iii) is satisfied for (X, B) , which completes the proof of Case III. $\square$

Corollary 2.5. *Assume that $K_X + B$ is not pseudo-effective. Let H be an ample $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier divisor on X . Then there exist a $(n-1)$ -fold S with a dominant rational map $\psi : \mathbb{P}^1 \times S \dashrightarrow X$, and an ICO-map $\varphi : X \dashrightarrow Z$ such that $\sigma_X(H) = \langle C, H \rangle / \langle C, -(K_X + B) \rangle$, where $C = \varphi_1^* \varphi_* \psi_*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times s)$, $0 < \langle \psi_*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times s), -(K_X + B) \rangle \leq \langle C, -(K_X + B) \rangle \leq 2n$ for the generic point $s \in S$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.4, we can find a sequence of ample $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisors $\{H_i\}$ and $(n-1)$ -dimensional covering families $\{\psi_i : \mathbb{P}^1 \times S_i \dashrightarrow X\}$ and ICO-maps $\varphi_i : X \dashrightarrow Z_i$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} H_i = H$ and $\sigma_X(H_i) = \langle C_i, H_i \rangle / \langle C_i, -(K_X + B) \rangle$, where $C_i = (\varphi_i)_1^* (\varphi_i)_* (\psi_i)_* (\mathbb{P}^1 \times s)$. Since $\sigma_X(\cdot)$ is a continuous function on the divisors, we have $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_X(H_i) = \sigma_X(H)$, hence $\langle C_i, H_i \rangle / \langle C_i, -(K_X + B) \rangle$ are bounded. Note that $\langle C_i, -(K_X + B) \rangle$ are positive and bounded from above by $2n$. So $\langle C_i, H_i \rangle$, hence $\langle C_i, H \rangle$ are bounded. Note also that the curves C_i are the integer points in $\overline{NM}(X)$, so there exist only finitely many integer points in this bounded subset of $\overline{NM}(X)$.

Let C be an element which repeats in the sequence $\{C_i\}$ infinitely many times. Then $\sigma_X(H) = \langle C, H \rangle / \langle C, -(K_X + B) \rangle$, and the family ψ in $\{\psi_i\}$ corresponding to C is the desirable one. $\square$

Corollary 2.6. *Assume that $K_X + B$ is not pseudo-effective. Let H be an ample Cartier divisor on X . Then $\sigma_X(H)$ is a rational number.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.5, there exists an integer curve C such that

$$\sigma_X(H) = \langle C, H \rangle / \langle C, -(K_X + B) \rangle.$$

Note that all the intersection numbers are rational, so we are done. $\square$

Definition 2.7. A half line $R = \mathbb{R}_+[l] \subset \overline{NM}(X)$ is called a coextremal ray if the following conditions hold:

- (i) $l \notin \overline{NE}_0(X) = \{z \in \overline{NE}(X) \mid \langle z, K_X + B \rangle \geq 0\}$;
- (ii) if $z_1, z_2 \in \overline{NM}(X) + \overline{NE}_0(X)$ and $z_1 + z_2 \in R$, then $z_1, z_2 \in R$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $P(\varepsilon) = \{ \text{integer curves } l \in \overline{NM}(X) \mid \langle l, -(K_X + B) \rangle \leq 2n, l \notin \overline{NE}_\varepsilon(X) \}$. All integer curves $l \in P(\varepsilon)$ have degree $\langle l, H \rangle \leq 2n/\varepsilon$, hence form a finite set in $\overline{NM}(X)$, which is denoted by $\{l_1, \dots, l_r\}$.

We shall prove that

$$T(\varepsilon) := \mathbb{R}_+[l_1] + \dots + \mathbb{R}_+[l_r] + \overline{NE}_\varepsilon(X) = \overline{NM}(X) + \overline{NE}_\varepsilon(X).$$

Obviously, $T(\varepsilon) \subset \overline{NM}(X) + \overline{NE}_\varepsilon(X)$. Assume that $T(\varepsilon) \neq \overline{NM}(X) + \overline{NE}_\varepsilon(X)$. Then by the separation theorem for convex sets, there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor $D \in N^1(X)$ such that

- (1) $\langle z, D \rangle \geq 0$ for arbitrary $z \in \overline{NM}(X) + \overline{NE}_\varepsilon(X)$;
- (2) there exists a $0 \neq z_0 \in \overline{NM}(X)$ such that $\langle z_0, D \rangle = 0$;
- (3) for any $z \in T(\varepsilon) \setminus \{0\}$, the number $\langle z, D \rangle / \langle z, H \rangle$ is positive and separated from zero by an absolute constant.

Let G be the convex cone of $N^1(X)$ generated by D and $-(K_X + B)$.

Claim 1. $\text{Amp}(X) \cap G \neq \{0\}$.

Proof of Claim 1. Assume that $\text{Amp}(X) \cap G = \{0\}$. Then by the separation theorem, there exists an element $u \in N_1(X)$ such that $\langle u, \text{Amp}(X) \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle u, G \setminus \{0\} \rangle < 0$. From the first inequality we see that $u \in \overline{NE}(X)$, and from the second one we have $\langle u, D \rangle < 0$ and $\langle u, -(K_X + B) \rangle < 0$. Hence $u \in \overline{NE}_\varepsilon(X)$ but $\langle z, D \rangle < 0$, a contradiction to (1), which completes the proof of Claim 1.

Thus there exists an ample $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor $D_0 = \lambda_0 D - \mu_0(K_X + B)$ for some $\lambda_0 > 0, \mu_0 > 0$, since if $\lambda_0 = 0$, then $-(K_X + B)$ is ample and we can change λ_0, μ_0 slightly such that $\lambda_0 > 0, \mu_0 > 0$. By Corollary 2.5, there exist a $(n-1)$ -fold S with a dominant rational map $\psi : \mathbb{P}^1 \times S \dashrightarrow X$, and an ICO-map $\varphi : X \dashrightarrow Z$ such that $\sigma_X(D_0) = \langle C, D_0 \rangle / \langle C, -(K_X + B) \rangle$, where $C = \varphi_1^* \varphi_* \psi_*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times s)$, s is the generic point of S and $0 < \langle \psi_*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times s), -(K_X + B) \rangle \leq \langle C, -(K_X + B) \rangle \leq 2n$.

By definition, we have $C \in T(\varepsilon)$. It follows from (1) that D is pseudo-effective, hence $\sigma_X(D_0) \geq \mu_0$. For $0 < \delta \ll 1$, we have

$$D_0 + (\mu_0 + \delta)(K_X + B) = \frac{\lambda_0(\mu_0 + \delta)}{\mu_0} D - \frac{\delta}{\mu_0} D_0$$

is not pseudo-effective by (2). Thus $\sigma_X(D_0) = \mu_0$. But by some easy computation, we have $\langle C, D \rangle = 0$, which contradicts to (3). $\square$

Remark 2.8. With notation and assumptions as in Theorem 1.1. Assume that at last we obtain a log Mori fiber space $g : X' \rightarrow Z$ by running $(K_X + B)$ -MMP. Let $f : X \dashrightarrow X'$ be the natural birational map and $U' = \{x' \in X' \mid f^{-1} \text{ is an isomorphism at } x'\}$. Since $\text{codim}(X' \setminus U') \geq 2$, we can take a projective curve $l' \subset U'$ contained in a general fiber of g . Let l be the strict transform of l' on X . Then

$\mathbb{R}_+[l]$ is a coextremal ray for some ε . Conversely, every coextremal ray is obtained by this way.

Corollary 2.9. *With notation and assumptions as in Theorem 1.1. Assume further that $-(K_X + B)$ is ample. Then $\overline{NM}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{R}_+[l_i]$ is a polyhedral cone.*

Proof. Take $H = -(K_X + B)$, $\varepsilon = 1/2$ and apply Theorem 1.1. $\square$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

In §3, we fix the notation and assumptions as in Theorem 1.5.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a variety with only terminal singularities, U the smooth locus of X . Then $\text{codim}(X \setminus U) \geq 3$. The second Chern class $c_2(X)$ of X is defined as follows:

$$c_2(X) = c_2(\mathcal{T}_X) := c_2(\mathcal{T}_X|_U) \in A^2(U) \cong A^2(X),$$

where $\mathcal{T}_X$ is the tangent sheaf on X .

In order to prove the pseudo-effectivity of $c_2(X)$, we make use of the following criterion (cf. [Mi87, Theorem 6.1]).

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a normal projective variety of dimension n which is smooth in codimension two. Let $H_1, \dots, H_{n-1}$ be ample Cartier divisors on X . Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a torsion free sheaf on X such that*

- (1) $c_1(\mathcal{E})$ is a nef $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor;
- (2) $\mathcal{E}$ is generically $(H_1, \dots, H_{n-1})$ -semipositive, i.e.

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot H_1 \cdots H_{n-1} \geq 0,$$

for every torsion free quotient sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{E}$.

Then $c_2(\mathcal{E}) \cdot H_1 \cdots H_{n-2} \geq 0$.

Definition 3.3. Let X be a variety and C a rational curve contained in the smooth locus of X . C is called a free rational curve if $\mathcal{T}_X|_C$ is semipositive.

We recall the following proposition (cf. [KMM92, Corollary 1.3]), which says that there exists a free rational curve in any uniruled variety.

Proposition 3.4. *Let X be a variety covered by a family of rational curves $\{C\}$ such that C is contained in the smooth locus of X . Then a general member C is a free rational curve.*

The existence of free rational curves and the nef curve cone theorem are the keypoints in the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. By Kodaira's lemma, we can find an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor B on X , such that (X, B) is terminal and $-(K_X + B)$ is ample. Hence $\overline{NM}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{R}_+[l_i]$ by Corollary 2.9.

Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{T}_X$. Then $c_1(\mathcal{T}_X) = -K_X$ is nef. Hence by Theorem 3.2, it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{E}$ is generically $(H_1, \dots, H_{n-1})$ -semipositive.

Since $H_1 \cdots H_{n-1} \in \overline{NM}(X)$, we have only to prove that $c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot l_i \geq 0$ for each $1 \leq i \leq r$ and each surjection $\mathcal{E} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{L}$ to a torsion free sheaf $\mathcal{L}$.

We use the description of coextremal rays in Remark 2.8 and fix a coextremal ray $l \in \{l_1, \dots, l_r\}$. Let U be the open subset of X corresponding to U' . We extend $\mathcal{T}_X|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{L}|_U/(\text{tor})$ to $\mathcal{T}_{X'} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$ via $U \cong U' \subset X'$. Since $X \dashrightarrow X'$ is an isomorphism on $U \supset l$, we have $c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot l = c_1(\mathcal{L}') \cdot l'$.

By construction, we may assume that l' is contained in a fiber of $X' \rightarrow Z$ and is a general member in a covering family of rational curves on X' . Hence l' is a free rational curve on X' . Note that $\mathcal{T}_{X'}|_{l'} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'|_{l'}$ has a finite cokernel. So by the semipositivity of $\mathcal{T}_{X'}|_{l'}$, we have $c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot l = c_1(\mathcal{L}') \cdot l' \geq 0$. $\square$

As for the pseudo-effectivity of the second Chern classes, we may put forward the following

Conjecture 3.5. *Let X be a variety of dimension n with only terminal singularities and $-K_X$ nef. Then for any ample Cartier divisors $H_1, \dots, H_{n-2}$ on X , we have*

$$c_2(X) \cdot H_1 \cdots H_{n-2} \geq 0.$$

We define the numerical dimension $\nu(-K_X)$ of $-K_X$ to be the greatest nonnegative integer ν such that $(-K_X)^\nu \not\equiv 0$. If $\nu(-K_X) = 0$, then Conjecture 3.5 follows from the Miyaoka theorem (cf. [Mi87, Theorem 1.1]). If $\nu(-K_X) = 1$, then Conjecture 3.5 was proved in [KMM04, Corollary 6.2]. If $\nu(-K_X) = n$, then it is Theorem 1.5. While for $1 < \nu(-K_X) < n$, Conjecture 3.5 is still open.

For the three-dimensional case of Conjecture 3.5, we can use the Minimal Model Program to deal with the case when $\nu(-K_X) = 2$ to obtain a partial result. We refer the reader to [Xie] for the details.

REFERENCES

- [Ba92] V. V. Batyrev, The cone of effective divisors of threefolds, *Proc. Int. Conf. Alg., Contemp. Math.*, **131**(1992), 337–352.
- [Ka91] Y. Kawamata, On the length of an extremal rational curve, *Invent. Math.*, **105**(1991), 609–611.
- [Ka92] Y. Kawamata, Termination of log flips for algebraic 3-folds, *Internat. J. Math.*, **3**(1992), 653–659.
- [KMM87] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda, K. Matsuki, Introduction to the minimal model problem, *Alg. Geom. Sendai 1985, Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, **10**(1987), 283–360.
- [KMM94] S. Keel, K. Matsuki, J. McKernan, Log abundance theorem for threefolds, *Duke Math. J.*, **75**(1994), 99–119.
- [KMM04] S. Keel, K. Matsuki, J. McKernan, Corrections to “log abundance theorem for threefolds”, *Duke Math. J.*, **122**(2004), 625–630.
- [KMM92] J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka, S. Mori, Rationally connected varieties, *J. Alg. Geom.*, **1**(1992), 429–448.
- [KMMT00] J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka, S. Mori, H. Takagi, Boundedness of canonical $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, **76**(2000), 73–77.

- [KM98] J. Kollár, S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Math., **134**(1998).
- [La04] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry I*, Springer, 2004.
- [Mi87] Y. Miyaoka, The Chern classes and Kodaira dimension of a minimal variety, Alg. Geom. Sendai 1985, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, **10**(1987), 449–476.
- [Sh92] V. V. Shokurov, 3-folds log flips, *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSR Ser. Mat.*, **56**(1992), 105–203.
- [Sh03] V. V. Shokurov, Prelimiting flips, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, **240**(2003), 75–213.
- [Xie] Q. Xie, On pseudo-effectivity of the second Chern classes for terminal threefolds, math.AG/0409269, to appear in *Asian J. Math.*

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO,
3-8-1 KOMABA, MEGURO, TOKYO 153-8914, JAPAN
E-mail address: xqh@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp